

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/866,956	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AHMAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Hai Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Hai Tran. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Christopher J. Daley-Watson. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 23 January 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 67 (as proposed).

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior Art of Record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Discusses about limitation "News" in which it could be read on "News stock trader" in which is well known to be called as TV ticker. The Examiner suggests Applicant to review amended claims (proposed) to be more specific to his/her invention.*

**HAITRAN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*[Signature]*  
Examiner's signature, if required

## FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED

Perkins  
Coie

If there are any problems with this transmission, please call:

- ☐ Central Fax Room: 206.359.8575 ☐ \*Sender's name and phone number  
☐ Individual Floor: ☐ 48<sup>th</sup> Floor Reception: 206.264.6300

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800

Seattle, WA 98101-3099

PHONE 206.359.8000

FAX 206.359.9000

www.perkinscoie.com

DATE: January 19, 2007COVER SHEET & 3 PAGESCLIENT NUMBER: 34528-8010.US00RETURN TO: (Name) Lana T. Anthony (Ext.) 3920 (Room No.) 4269

SENDER:	TELEPHONE:	FACSIMILE:
Christopher J. Daley-Watson	(206) 359-3599	(206) 359-4599

RECIPIENT:	COMPANY:	TELEPHONE:	FACSIMILE:
Examiner H.V. Tran	United States Patent and Trademark Office	571-272-7305	571-273-7305

This Fax contains confidential, privileged information intended only for the intended addressee. Do not read, copy or disseminate it unless you are the intended addressee. If you have received this Fax in error, please email it back to the sender at perkinscoie.com and delete it from your system or call us (collect) immediately at 206.359.8575, and mail the original Fax to Perkins Coie LLP, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800, Seattle, WA 98101-3099.

ANCHORAGE • BEIJING • BELLEVUE • BOISE • CHICAGO • DENVER • LOS ANGELES  
MENLO PARK • OLYMPIA • PHOENIX • PORTLAND • SAN FRANCISCO • SEATTLE • WASHINGTON, D.C.

Perkins Coie LLP and Affiliates

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Subutai Ahmad

Application No.: 09/866,956

Confirmation No.: 6205

Filed: May 29, 2001

Art Unit: 2623

For: **BROWSER FOR USE IN NAVIGATING A  
BODY OF INFORMATION, WITH  
PARTICULAR APPLICATION TO  
BROWSING INFORMATION  
REPRESENTED BY AUDIOVISUAL DATA**

Examiner: H. V. Tran

**INTERVIEW AGENDA**

Examiner Tran,

In reference to the pending Office Action, mailed November 3, 2006, and to the granted request for an in-person interview to be held at your convenience at the United States Patent Office at 1pm. on January 23, 2007, applicants respectfully submit the following agenda for your consideration.

**AGENDA FOR INTERVIEW**

1. A discussion of the differences between the claimed invention and the Wactlar reference. Points to be discussed:
  - A. Informal description of the claimed invention.
  - B. The Wactlar reference.
2. A discussion of independent claims 65 and 67. Applicant proposes additional amendments to the independent claims to further clarify the subject matter for which applicants seek protection. For example, applicant proposes to amend claim 67 to recite:
  67. (Currently Amended) A system for acquiring, and enabling the quick review of, news items~~reviewing a body of information, wherein the body of information includes a~~

~~plurality of segments, each segment representing a defined set of information in the body of information, the system comprising:~~

~~means for acquiring in real time, or in near real time, data representing the news items, wherein the data includes data representing a first news item~~~~body of information;~~

~~first display means for generating in real time, or in near real time, a display of thea first news itemsegment of the ~~body of information~~ as the data representing the first news itemsegment is acquired by the means for acquiring;~~

~~means for comparing the data representing the first news itema segment of the ~~body of information~~ to data representing a second news itemdifferent segment of the ~~body of information~~ to determine whether, according to one or more predetermined criteria, the compared news itemssegments are related; and~~

~~second display means for generating a display of a portion of, or a representation of, thea second news itemsegment of the ~~body of information~~, wherein;~~

~~the second display means displays the portion or representation of the second news itemsegment in response to the display by the first display means of thea first news itemsegment to which the second news itemsegment is related; and~~

~~the display of the first and second news items is substantially concurrent.~~

Support for the amendments may be found, e.g., in the specification at p. 5 ("the invention enables acquisition, and subsequent review, of news stories", "[t]he invention enables some or all of a body of information to be skimmed quickly"), at p. 8 ("[t]he invention also enables the real-time display of some or all of a body of information"), and at p. 9 ("[t]he second display mechanism can display a portion or representation of the second segment substantially coextensive in time with the display of the related first segment by the first display mechanism."). Applicant respectfully submits that the proposed amended claim is patentable over Wactlar and the other applied reference. For example, proposed amended claim 67 recites acquiring in real time data representing news items (such as news stories), displaying in real time a first news item, and displaying substantially concurrently a related second news item. Wactlar does not disclose or suggest these elements. The other applied reference similarly lacks such disclosures or suggestions.

3. Some elements that distinguish the dependent claims from Wactlar and the other cited reference.
4. Any other considerations.

### CONCLUSION

Applicants thank the Examiner for granting the applicant's request for an interview and for consideration of the above agenda. Should additional information be required, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned representative.

Dated: 1/19/07

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Christopher J. Daley-Watson

Registration No.: 34,807

PERKINS COIE LLP

P.O. Box 1247

Seattle, Washington 98111-1247

(206) 359-8000

(206) 359-7198 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant